Violent Video Games Stress People Out and Make Them More Aggressive
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It is well known that violent video games increase aggression, and that stress increases aggression. Many violent video games can be stressful because enemies are trying to kill players. The present study investigates whether violent games increase aggression by inducing stress in players. Stress was measured using cardiac coherence, defined as the synchronization of the rhythm of breathing to the rhythm of the heart. We predicted that cardiac coherence would mediate the link between exposure to violent video games and subsequent aggression. Specifically, we predicted that playing a violent video game would decrease cardiac coherence, and that cardiac coherence, in turn, would correlate negatively with aggression. Participants (N = 77) played a violent or nonviolent video game for 20 min. Cardiac coherence was measured before and during game play. After game play, participants had the opportunity to blast a confederate with loud noise through headphones during a reaction time task. The intensity and duration of noise blasts given to the confederate was used to measure aggression. As expected, violent video game players had lower cardiac coherence levels and higher aggression levels than did nonviolent game players. Cardiac coherence, in turn, was negatively related to aggression. This research offers another possible reason why violent games can increase aggression—by inducing stress. Cardiac coherence can be a useful tool to measure stress induced by violent video games. Cardiac coherence has several desirable methodological features as well: it is noninvasive, stable against environmental disturbances, relatively inexpensive, not subject to demand characteristics, and easy to use. Aggr. Behav. 39:64–70, 2013. © 2012 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

“Stress is an ignorant state. It believes that everything is an emergency.”
—Natalie Golberg, American author

In emergency situations, the body responds with stress. Stress is an undesirable state because it can have harmful effects on the body, such as cardiovascular disease (Weiten, Dunn, & Hammer, 2011). Most people already experience enough stress in their lives without intentionally exposing themselves to more stress. We argue that violent video game players do just that—they intentionally expose players to stressful situations in which enemies are trying to kill them. Although some video games can have a relaxing effect on players (Russoniello, O’Brien, & Parks, 2009; Whitaker & Bushman, 2012), violent video games have the opposite effect. Research has shown that violent video games increases physiological arousal, such as heart rate (Barlett & Rodeheffer, 2009), blood pressure and skin conductance (Arriaga, Esteves, Carneiro, & Monteiro, 2006), and stress hormones such as epinephrine and nor-epinephrine (Lynch, 1999). Although nobody actually dies, violent players may still experience stress.

It is well known that violent video games increase aggression (see Anderson et al., 2010 for a meta-analytic review). It is also well known that that stressful situations such as crowding, unpredictable noise, unpleasant odors, and hot temperatures increase aggression (see Bushman & Huesmann, 2010 for a review). The present research links these two well-established empirical findings by investigating increased stress as one possible explanation of why
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violent video games increase aggression. Rather than relying on self-report measures of stress that may be subject to demand characteristics and other biases (e.g., Nisbett & Wilson, 1977), we examine for the first time cardiac coherence as a possible mediator of the link between exposure to violent video games and subsequent aggression. We chose to focus on cardiac coherence because it is an excellent measure of reduced stress.

**Cardiac Coherence**

Heart rate is affected by the autonomic nervous system (Acharya, Joseph, Kannathal, Lim, & Suri, 2006; Fraser & Swinney, 1986; Kleiger et al., 1991). The autonomic nervous system is divided into two opposing subsystems: the sympathetic nervous system and the parasympathetic nervous system. The sympathetic nervous system works like an accelerator on the heart—it increases heart rate to mobilize the body in response to stress, called a fight–flight response. In contrast, the parasympathetic nervous system works like a brake on the heart—it promotes maintenance of the body at rest by controlling most of the body’s internal organs. Imbalance in the autonomic nervous system occurs when people experience negative emotions (Childre & Cryer, 2004).

Breathing influences the way the autonomic nervous system regulates heart rate. Inhalation inhibits the parasympathetic system and increases heart rate, whereas exhalation stimulates the parasympathetic system and decreases heart rate. This rhythmic shift in heart rate associated with respiration is known as respiratory sinus arrhythmia (Berntson, Casioppo, & Quigley, 1993; Chess, Tam, & Calaresu, 1975).

Heart rate variability is the amount heart rate fluctuates, as measured by the variation in the beat-to-beat interval. Heart rate variability is an indicator of greater autonomic nervous system balance (Lehrer, Woolfolk, & Sime, 2007), and reflects the influence of the autonomic nervous system on how hard the heart works (Miličević, 2005). Heart rate variability was first used clinically in 1965 when doctors noted that fetal distress was preceded by changes in interbeat intervals before any appreciable change occurred in the heart rate itself (Hon & Lee, 1965).

Directly relevant to the present study is a large body of research showing a link between lower heart rate variability and negative emotions such as anger (Acharya et al., 2006; Carney & Rich, 1988; Fraser & Swinney, 1986; Kleiger et al., 1991; McCraty, Atkinson, Tiller, Rein, & Watkins, 1995; Miličević, 2005). Research also shows a link between lower rate variability and antisocial behavior, such as aggression (Lahey, Hart, Pliszka, Applegate, & McBurnett, 1993; Scarpa & Haden, 2006; Scarpa, Tanaka, & Haden, 2008; Susman & Pajer, 2004). Likewise, reduced respiratory sinus arrhythmia is linked to antisocial behavior (Mezzacappa et al., 1997). Although previous research has linked exposure to violence to increased heart rate and faster respiration (Fourie, 2008), the link between exposure to violence and lower heart rate variability remains unclear. Generally, there are no gender differences in heart rate variability (Acton, 2011; Ramaekers, Ector, Aubert, Rubens, & Van de Werf, 1998).

Research has shown that breathing can increase heart rate variability and respiratory sinus arrhythmia, resulting in a balance of sympathetic and parasympathetic activity that reduces stress and provides greater relaxation and feelings of well being (Bolis, Licinio, & Govoni, 2002). Cardiac coherence is defined as the synchronization of the rhythm of breathing to the rhythm of the heart (Carney & Rich, 1988; McCraty et al., 1995). It is reflected by a sine wave-like pattern in the heart rhythms consisting of a smooth repetitive oscillation. One component of this pattern is frequency, which determines how many oscillations occur within a unit time interval. At a frequency of about 0.1 hertz, the oscillation in heart rate between exhalation and inhalation tends to be maximal (Vaschillo, Lehrer, Rishe, & Konstantinov, 2002). This usually occurs at about six breaths per minute. Cardiac coherence is a state in which heart rate variability is highly regular (Church, 2007). Although heart rate variability is defined as beat-to-beat changes in heart rate, cardiac coherence is defined as the smoothness or synchronization of these changes as they are influenced by the automatic nervous system (Childre & Cryer, 2004).

Cardiac coherence is a relatively new measure of autonomic nervous system balance (Tiller, McCraty, & Atkinson, 1996). When cardiac coherence occurs, the frontal, temporal, and parietal-occipital regions of the brain are activated; the autonomic nervous system is balanced; and the body functions with increased harmony and efficiency (Carney & Rich, 1988; Childre & Cryer, 2004; McCraty et al., 1995), such as in the circulatory and nervous systems (McCraty & Tomasino, 2006).

Previous research has shown when people experience positive emotions such as appreciation, joy, gratitude, and love, fluctuations in heart rate variability are small and cardiac coherence occurs (Childre & Cryer, 2004; Church, 2007; Fig. 1A). Previous research has shown that cardiac coherence is associated with decreased anxiety and depression, decreased physical symptoms related to stress, increased immune functions, decreased cortisol
production (a stress hormone), and increased DHEA (Dehydroepiandrosterone) define as the antistress hormone that keeps in check and corrects blood cortisol levels (Mikulka, 2011; Wickens, 2009). Biofeedback programs designed to reduce stress often use breathing and relaxation techniques to achieve a state of cardiac coherence (Maria, 2009; Nunan et al., 2009). In contrast, when people experience negative emotions such as stress, anger, frustration, sadness, and anxiety, fluctuations in heart rate variability are large and cardiac coherence decreases (Childre & Cryer, 2004; Church, 2007; Fig. 1B), a state called cardiac incoherence. When people feel negative emotions, cardiac incoherence signals the brain, impedes thinking, and hinders decision-making (Feinstein, 2006).

Cardiac coherence also has at least six other attributes that are desirable to researchers studying video game effects. First, cardiac coherence is more directly related to negative affect such as stress than other physiological measures (Childre & Cryer, 2004; McCraty & Tomasino, 2006) because it can distinguish sympathetic from parasympathetic regulation of the heart rate (Tiller et al., 1996). Second, cardiac coherence is less invasive than other physiological measures such as skin conductance, blood pressure, and heart rate (e.g., it is difficult to play a video game with finger clips or arm cuffs). Cardiac coherence is measured using a comfortable clip that attaches to the earlobe. Measures of heart rate, blood pressure, and skin conductance use pressurized cuffs or sensors on either the upper arm or the finger. These often draw attention and can even be painful (especially the blood pressure cuff), which can elicit emotional reactions (Kahneman, Diener, & Schwarz, 2003). Third, cardiac coherence is generally stable against various forms of environmental disturbance, such as muscle movements that often occur when playing video games. Fourth, cardiac coherence is less subject to demand characteristics than self-report measures of stress. Fifth, cardiac coherence equipment is relatively inexpensive in comparison to other physiological equipment. Sixth, cardiac coherence measures are very easy for researchers to use.

**Present Research**

In the present study, participants were randomly assigned to play either a violent or nonviolent video game while their cardiac coherence was measured. Next, they competed against an ostensible partner on a task in which the winner could blast the loser with loud noise through headphones. The intensity and duration of noise participants gave their ostensible partner was used to measure aggressive behavior. We predicted that participants who played a violent game would have lower cardiac coherence than participants who played a nonviolent game, and that cardiac coherence, in turn, would be negatively related to aggression.

**METHOD**

**Participants**

Participants were 77 French university students (83% female; $M_{age} = 20.1, SD = 3.1; 100\%$ Caucasian) who received course credit.
Procedure

Participants were told that the researchers were studying the effects of the brightness of video games on visual perception and physiological arousal. They were asked if they had any vision problems or cardiovascular disease; none did. After informed consent was obtained, a 1-min baseline measure of cardiac coherence was obtained using a Stress Pilot biofeedback device (Biocomfort Diagnostics, Wendlingen, Germany), a soft, comfortable clip that attaches to the left earlobe. Because the impact of breathing on heart rate variability is greatest at six breaths a minute (Gevirtz & Lehrer, 2003), the Stress Pilot device measures heart rate variability and respiration rate at a rate of six breaths. Participants were not instructed to engage in paced breathing. The device randomly selects six breaths from the breathing cycle, and then measures heart rate variability and respiration rate at a rate of these six breaths. The Stress Pilot device calculates the maximum and minimum heart rate for each breath, and then calculates the quotient of the maximum to minimum heart rate for this breath. Compared with statistical parameters (e.g., the standard deviation), this quotient is less affected by artifacts such as body movements.

Next, participants were then randomly assigned to play a violent or nonviolent game for 20 min while cardiac coherence was recorded. To increase the generalizability of findings (Wells & Windschitl, 1999), we used three violent games (Condemned 2, Call of Duty 4, and The Club; all rated 18+, for players at least 18-years-old) and three nonviolent games (S3K Superbike, Dirt 2 and Pure; all rated 10+, for players at least 10-years-old). Before they played the game, participants were given instructions on how to play. After playing the game, participants rated how absorbing, action packed, arousing, boring, difficult, enjoyable, entertaining, exciting, frustrating, fun, involving, stimulating, and violent it was (1 = not at all to 7 = extremely). The violent rating was used as a manipulation check. The other ratings were used as possible covariates to control for differences between video games besides violent content. Participants also listed their three favorite games. To control for habitual exposure to violent video games, we counted the number of games rated 18+ for violent content (0, 1, 2, or 3 games), as in our previous research (Hasan, Bégue, & Bushman, 2012; Whitaker & Bushman, 2012). However, because the same pattern of results was obtained with and without the covariates, we used the simpler analyses that excluded the covariates.

Next, participants completed a 25-trial competitive reaction time task with an ostensible partner of the same sex in which the winner could blast the loser with loud noise through headphones. The noise levels ranged from Level 1 = 60 decibels to Level 10 = 105 decibels (about the same level as a fire alarm). A nonaggressive no-noise option (Level 0) was also provided. The winner could also determine the duration of the loser’s suffering by controlling the noise duration (Level 1 = 0.5 sec to Level 10 = 5 sec). The participant won 12 of the 25 trials (randomly determined). The ostensible partner set random noise intensities and durations across the 25 trials. Basically, within the ethical limits of the laboratory, participants controlled a weapon that could be used to blast their partner with unpleasant noise. This is a well-validated measure of laboratory aggression (e.g., Giancola & Zeichner, 1995) that has been used for decades (Taylor, 1967). Finally, participants were probed for suspicion and debriefed. None of the participants expressed suspicion about the study.

RESULTS

Preliminary Analyses

Gender differences. There were no significant effects involving gender on either cardiac coherence or aggression, so the data from men and women were combined.

Exemplars of violent and nonviolent video games. No significant differences were found among the three different violent games or among the three different nonviolent games on either cardiac coherence or aggression (Ps > .05). Thus, the three violent games were combined and the three nonviolent games were combined for subsequent analyses.

Manipulation check of violent content of video games. As expected, violent video games were rated as more violent (M = 5.85, SD = 1.44) than were nonviolent video games (M = 2.05, SD = 1.27), F(1,76) = 149.45, P < .001, d = 2.80. Thus, the violent game manipulation was successful.

Primary Analyses

Cardiac coherence. Cardiac coherence was analyzed using a 2 (violent vs. nonviolent video game) × 2 (baseline vs. during game play) mixed ANOVA, with the first factor between-subjects and the second factor within-subjects. As expected, there was a significant interaction between video game content and measurement time on cardiac coherence values, F(1,74) = 19.87, P < .0001 (see Fig. 2). Participants who played a violent game had significantly lower cardiac coherence values than did participants who played a nonviolent video game, F(1,75) = 19.49, P < .0001 d = 1.02. Cardiac coherence values at baseline did not
Fig. 2. Effects of violent and nonviolent video game on cardiac coherence at baseline and during game play. Means containing the same subscript are not significantly different at the .05 significance level. Capped vertical bars denote 1 standard error.

Fig. 3. Results of multiple regression analyses with game content as the independent variable (1 = violent, 0 = nonviolent), cardiac coherence as the mediator (time 2–time 1), and aggressive behavior (average of noise intensity and duration levels averaged across the 25 trials) as the dependent variable. The βs in parentheses were obtained from a model that included both video game violence and cardiac coherence as predictors of aggression.

**DISCUSSION**

Consistent with many previous studies (see Anderson et al., 2010, for a meta-analytic review), participants who played a violent video game were significantly more aggressive afterwards than were participants who played a nonviolent video game. Violent game players gave their ostensible partners louder and longer noise blasts through headphones than did nonviolent game players.

The main purpose of the present research, however, was not to replicate previous findings showing that violent video games increase aggression. Our main purpose was to investigate cardiac coherence as a mediator of the link between exposure to violent video games and aggressive behavior. Our results showed that violent video games decreased cardiac coherence. Cardiac coherence, in turn, was negatively related to aggression. These findings offer one possible reason why violent game players were more aggressive. Violent games stress people out, and stressed out people tend to be cranky and aggressive.

These findings are consistent with the General Aggression Model (e.g., Anderson & Bushman, 2002) and with cognitive-neoassociation theory (e.g., Berkowitz, 1990), which both propose that aversive emotional states increase aggression.

**Limitations and Future Research**

The present study, like all studies, has limitations. Although we can make causal inferences on the effects of violent video games, we cannot make causal inferences on the effects of cardiac coherence on aggression (see Bullock, Green, & Ha, 2010). Unfortunately, it is not possible to directly manipulate cardiac coherence (Madanmohan, Prakash, & Bhavanani, 2005). One can only manipulate factors that are expected to influence cardiac coherence, such as mood, breathing, and exercise. Second, we only measured one type of aggressive behavior (e.g., administering noise blasts to an opponent during a competitive game). Our findings may not generalize to more planned and thoughtful forms of aggression.
violence, including aggression and competitiveness. However, previous research has shown that cardiac coherence is a well-accepted physiological measure of stress (e.g., Maria, 2009; Nunn et al., 2009).

Conclusions

As Natalie Golberg noted, “Stress is an ignorant state. It believes that everything is an emergency.” Violent game players are placed in emergency situations in which many enemies are trying to kill them. One consequence of this exposure is an increase in stress. The present research showed that violent games reduced cardiac coherence. Cardiac coherence, in turn, was negatively associated with aggression. Thus, violent games may increase aggression in part by stressing players out. Although nobody actually gets killed in a violent game, players do experience increased stress, which makes them more cranky and prone to aggression against others.
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